Warped Graviton Couplings to Bulk Vectors with Brane Kinetic Terms

Archil Kobakhidze[1], **Kristian L. McDonald**[1], **Lei Wu**[1,2] **and Jason Yue**[1]

[1] *ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale, School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia*

[2] *Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China*

E-mail: archil.kobakhidze, kristian.mcdonald, lei.wu1, jason.yue@sydney.edu.au

Abstract: We consider non-universal couplings between Kaluza-Klein (KK) gravitons and bulk Standard Model (SM) vectors in the Randall-Sundrum (RS) model due to the inclusion of both UV and IR brane-localised gauge kinetic terms. We find that such kinetic terms can enhance the couplings of KK gravitons to SM gauge bosons and help ensure the KK vector masses are consistent with electroweak precision constraints. As an application, we consider the 750 GeV diphoton excess observed in the early LHC Run 2 data, identifying the lightest KK graviton with the diphoton resonance and employing brane kinetic terms to increase the coupling to SM vectors.

arXiv:1606.08565v2 [hep-ph] 6 Jul 2016

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Bulk RS Model with Brane Localised Kinetic Terms **3**
2.1 KK Graviton 3
2.2 Bulk Vector 5

3 Couplings of 750 GeV KK Graviton and Vectors **7**

4 Conclusions **11**

1 Introduction

A great deal of interest has been attracted to the excess of diphoton events at the invariant mass $\sim$ 750 GeV apparently seen in the early LHC Run 2 data [1, 2]. If the observed anomaly is not a statistical fluctuation, the most straightforward explanation is via production of a new 750 GeV resonance R with spin 0 or 2 [3] and with the subsequent decay into two photons with the cross section [4]

$$\sigma_{\text{LHC 13 TeV}}(pp \to 750 \text{ GeV} \to \gamma\gamma) = (4.6 \pm 1.2) \text{ fb}. \tag{1.1}$$

The reported excess seems to show up only in the diphoton channel, without accompanying leptons or jets or any significant missing energy. Furthermore, other searches for the high mass resonance in the jj [5], ZZ, W^+W^- [6–8], $Z\gamma$ [9], hh [10, 11] and $t\bar{t}$ [12, 13] channels have returned negative results (cf. Tab 1). This implies a relatively large coupling of the resonance to photons, and hence large branching fraction $\text{Br}(R \to \gamma\gamma)$, and perhaps hints that the putative resonance is involved in a strongly interacting dynamics. Such a dynamics may manifest either though large couplings or through the multiplicity of states that generate $R\gamma\gamma$ effective interactions radiatively. Multiple resonances naturally emerge in high-dimensional theories as the Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitations [14].

Among high-dimensional theories, those based on warped anti-de Sitter (AdS) geometries [15, 16] are phenomenologically attractive since they predict various resonances at the $\sim$TeV scale while ameliorating the hierarchy problem [17]. Unsurprisingly, there have been several attempts to interpret the 750 GeV excess via production of a KK graviton or scalar state [3, 18–34] within Randall-Sundrum (RS) type models. Identification of a lightest KK graviton, G_1, with the 750 GeV LHC resonance is not an easy task, however. Indeed, in the original RS model [17], where only gravity propagates in the bulk, while Standard Model fields are comfined on the infrared (IR) brane, each KK graviton couples universally to the Standard Model fields and, therefore, it is problematic to argue for a large coupling to photons and, simultaneously, for suppressed couplings to other fields. For

Channel (X)	$\sigma(gg \to G \to X)$	
	ATLAS [fb]	CMS [fb]
$\gamma\gamma$	2.2 [10]	1.3 [35]
ZZ	12 [6]	27 [8]
$Z\gamma$	6 [9]	-
WW	38 [7]	220 [8]
$t\bar{t}$	7×10^2 [12]	6×10^2 [13]
hh	35 [10]	52 [11]
gg	-	1.8×10^3 [5]

Table 1. 95% C.L. exclusion limits on $\sigma(gg \to R) \times \mathrm{Br}(R \to X)$ for various decay channels XX in resonance searches at LHC Run 1.

example, since $\mathrm{Br}(G_1 \to l^+l^-) = 0.5 \times \mathrm{Br}(G_1 \to \gamma\gamma)$ in the original RS model, the Run 1 dilepton searches constrain and G_1 to be heavier than ~ 1 TeV even for a small coupling $k/\overline{M}_p = 0.01$ ($\overline{M}_p \approx 2.4 \times 10^{18}$ GeV is the reduced Planck mass) [25].

Since the couplings of KK gravitons with other fields are essentially determined by the overlap of their wavefunctions in the extra-dimensional bulk spacetime, the phenomenology of the original RS model is altered when the Standard Model fields are allowed to propagate in the AdS bulk. Indeed, the coupling of G_1 to leptons get suppressed by localising them closer to the ultraviolet (UV) brane. The dilepton constraints, thus, can be avoided. However, in the bulk-RS model one necessarily encounters KK states for the Standard Model gauge bosons (gluons and electroweak bosons, collectively denoted by A), the lighest state of which, A_1, is, in general, expected to be lighter than G_1. Such setups generically generate sizable contributions to the electroweak precision observables and corrections to the $Zb\bar{b}$ coupling [36]. It turns out that a smaller RS volume [37], the introduction of a custodial symmetry [38], or large brane-localised terms [39] can allow for new physics scales at $M_{A_1} \sim 2 - 5$ TeV.

Despite the focus on the 750 GeV excess, the recent studies can be of more-general interest. Specifically, the excess motivates one to consider hitherto unexplored pockets of parameter space in the RS model, thereby better elucidating the range of phenomenological possibilities inherent in the framework. In particular, the tentative diphoton bump motivates a careful consideration of the various brane-localized terms present in the most-general Lagrangian, to determine the range of viable KK masses and couplings available. As is well known, the theoretical setup of the RS model involves non-local objects (3-branes) and thus allows one to write non-local operators localised on the IR and UV branes. In fact, even if such terms are absent at tree level, they are generically expected to appear radiatively. When allowed by the symmetries of the theory, brane-localised operators that are quadratic in the fields, such as brane localised kinetic terms (BLKTs) and masses, can modify the mass spectrum of KK states, as well as their bulk profiles [39–42]. In this paper, we analyse the RS model with UV and IR brane localised terms for both gravity and Stan-

dard Model (SM) gauge fields. Our interest is primarily in better exploring the sensitivity of the coupling between KK gravitons and bulk vectors in the presence of BLKTs. As an application, however, we identify the first KK graviton state G_1 with the LHC resonance, and find a range of parameter space for the BLKTs which leads to a phenomenologically consistent scenario. Some recent papers have discussed similar, but less generic models. Namely, in Refs. [30] and [32] the graviton G_1 is proposed as the 750 GeV LHC resonance within the RS model with brane-localised curvature terms, while in Ref. [28] and IR localised BLKT for the hypercharge field is also considered. These works raise interesting questions regarding the nature of the coupling between the lightest KK graviton and bulk vectors. Here, we perform a more-general analysis to investigate these issues further.

This paper is organised as follows. In Sec. 2, we describe the bulk RS model with brane-localised kinetic terms. In Sec. 3 we explain how the 750 GeV diphoton excess can be accommodated as the first KK graviton - the main difficulty being evading the constraints on the lightest vector KK state whilst remaining consistent with the exclusion limits in heavy resonance searches. The paper will be concluded in Sec. 4.

2 Bulk RS Model with Brane Localised Kinetic Terms

2.1 KK Graviton

The RS model employs a five-dimensional spacetime with the following invariant line-element:

$$ds^2 = e^{-2\sigma(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2 := G_{MN}dx^M dx^N, \tag{2.1}$$

where $x^{\mu,\nu}$ are the usual four-dimensional coordinates, $x^{M,N}$ are five-dimensional coordinates, and $y \in [-r_c\pi, r_c\pi]$ labels the extra dimension. The latter is compactified as an $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold with fundamental interval $[0, \pi r_c]$, where r_c denotes the radius of the extra space (we also use the notation $L = \pi r_c$ to denote the length of the fundamental interval). The exponential "warp factor" depends on $\sigma(y) := ky$, with k related to the AdS curvature; for numerical work we take k in the vicinity of the four-dimensional reduced Planck mass $k \sim \overline{M}_p = 2.435 \times 10^{18}$ GeV.

In addition to the usual gravitational sources for the metric (2.1), we augment the five-dimensional action to include four-dimensional brane curvature terms at both the Planck and TeV branes, located at $y = 0$ and $y = L$ respectively:

$$S_G = \frac{M_5^3}{4}\int \sqrt{|G|}d^4x dy \left[R^{(5)} + (g_{\rm UV}\delta(y) + g_{\rm IR}\delta(y-L))\, R^{(4)} + \dots\right]. \tag{2.2}$$

The spectrum of spin-2 fluctuations (KK modes) is obtained by expanding the metric in terms of the fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu}$:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2ky}(\eta_{\mu\nu} + 2M_5^{-3/2}h_{\mu\nu}), \tag{2.3}$$

with the fluctuation further expanded in terms of the KK modes $h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ as

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \sum_n h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} f_h^{(n)}(y). \tag{2.4}$$

Here $f_h^{(n)}(y)$ is the wavefunction for the n-th KK mode in the extra dimension, which must satisfy the equation of motion:

$$\partial_y \left(e^{-4ky} \partial_y f_h^{(n)} \right) + \left(1 + \sum_{i=\text{IR,UV}} g_i \delta(y - y_i) \right) e^{-2ky} m_n^2 f_h^{(n)} = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where $y_{\text{UV,IR}} = 0, L$. The solutions are given in terms of the (modified) Bessel functions J_i (Y_i) as:

$$f_h^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{2ky}}{N_{n,h}} \left(J_2(z_n^G(y)) + \alpha_n Y_2(z_n^G(y)) \right), \tag{2.6}$$

where $z_n^G(y) := \frac{e^{ky}}{k} m_{G_n}$ with m_{G_n} being the KK mass. Integrating (2.5) around the Planck and TeV branes gives the boundary conditions, enforcing which requires:

$$\alpha_n = -\frac{J_1(z_{n,i}^G) - \theta_i \gamma_i z_{n,i}^G J_2(z_{n,i}^G)}{Y_1(z_{n,i}^G) - \theta_i \gamma_i z_{n,i}^G Y_2(z_{n,i}^G)} \qquad i = \text{IR, UV}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $z_{n,i}^G = z_n^G(y_i)$ and $\theta_{\text{UV}} = -\theta_{\text{IR}} = -1$. Demanding that the two expressions for the constants α_n are equal determines the KK masses. The asymptotics for $\gamma_{\text{UV}} := g_0 k r_c/2 \neq -1/2$ gives $\alpha_n \sim z_n^2(0) \sim (10^{-15})^2$, and the mass of the low-lying KK modes is near the TeV scale. Thus, defining $x_n^G = z_n^G(L)$, the solutions for x_n^G are well-approximated by solving:

$$J_1(x_n^G) + \gamma_{\text{IR}} x_n^G J_2(x_n^G) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

giving the KK masses:

$$m_{G_n} = x_n(\gamma_{\text{IR}}) k e^{-k r_c \pi}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $x_n^G(\gamma_{\text{IR}})$ is the solution to Eq. (2.8) for a given value of the parameter $\gamma_{\text{IR}} := g_{\text{IR}} k r_c/2$. The normalisation factors $N_{n,h}$ are determined by the relation:

$$2 \int_0^L dy \; e^{-2ky} \left[f_h^{(n)} \right]^2 + \sum_i \frac{2\pi\gamma_i}{kL} \left[f_h^{(n)}(y_i) \right]^2 = 1. \tag{2.10}$$

The 5D metric also contains a scalar fluctuation (the radion), which only acquires mass after the length of the extra dimension is stabilised. The properties of the radion are sensitive to brane-localised curvature and, in particular, the radion kinetic term, mass, and couplings all display dependencies on γ_{IR} [43, 44]. An important consequence is that the radion can be ghost-like when $\gamma_{\text{IR}} > 0.5$ [43, 44]. For values of $\gamma_{\text{IR}} \sim 10$ considered in this work (and related studies), one presumably requires additional dynamics to ensure stability of the background geometry (as discussed in Ref. [44]). At present it is unclear whether the ghost-like radion persists when the Higgs propagates in the bulk. For our purposes we merely point out that the RS geometry with IR curvature $\gamma_{\text{IR}} \sim 10$ requires an additional ingredient to ensure the radion is not ghost-like; optimistically, a bulk non-minimal coupling to the Higgs may play a role here.[1]

[1] Ref. [45] studied the effects of bulk SM fields on the radion couplings, however, brane localised curvature terms were not considered.

2.2 Bulk Vector

We consider the most-general action for a bulk vector:

$$S = -\frac{1}{4g_5^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-G}\,G^{MP}G^{NQ}F_{MN}F_{PQ} - \sum_{i=\mathrm{IR,UV}}\frac{2\tau_i}{4g_5^2 k}\int d^4x\sqrt{-G_i}\,G_i^{\mu\rho}G_i^{\nu\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}, \tag{2.11}$$

where G_i denotes the restriction of the 5D metric G to the 4D induced metric on the brane at $y = y_i$, and $\tau_{\mathrm{IR,UV}}$ are dimensionless coefficients for brane-localised kinetic terms. The KK expansion for the bulk vector is $A_\mu(x^\nu, y) = \sum_n A_\mu^{(n)}(x^\nu)\, f_A^{(n)}(y)$, where the profiles satisfy the following equation of motion:

$$\partial_y\left(e^{-2ky}\,\partial_y f_A^{(n)}(y)\right) + m_n^2\left(1 + \frac{2}{k}\sum_i \tau_i\delta(y-y_i)\right) f_A^{(n)}(y) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Integrating this equation over the boundaries gives the boundary conditions:

$$\left(k\partial_y + \theta_i e^{2ky_i} m_{A_n}^2\tau_i\right) f_A^{(n)}(y_i) = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

The solutions are:

$$f_A^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{ky}}{\sqrt{2}N_{n,A}}\left\{J_1(z_n^A(y)) + \beta_n\, Y_1(z_n^A(y))\right\}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $z_n^A(y) = m_{A_n}e^{ky}/k$ and $N_{n,A}$ is a normalisation factor, and the constant β_n is determined by the boundary conditions. Analogous to (2.7), the boundary conditions on the branes give: [42]:

$$\beta_n = -\frac{J_0(z_{n,i}^A) - \theta_i\tau_i z_{n,i}^A J_1(z_{n,i}^A)}{Y_0(z_{n,i}^A) - \theta_i\tau_i z_{n,i}^A Y_1(z_{n,i}^A)}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $z_{n,i}^A := z_n^A(y_i)$. The vector KK masses m_{A_n} are obtained after demanding equality of the two expressions for β_n. Due to $\lim_{z\to 0} J_0(z) = 1$, the mass of the first KK vector can be sensitive to both τ_{UV} and τ_{IR}. This differs from Eq. (2.8) where $\lim_{z\to 0} J_1(z) = 0$, so that m_{G_1} is only sensitive to the values of the IR factor γ_{IR}. The normalisation factors are determined by the following relations

$$2k\int_0^L dy f_A^{(n)}(y)f_A^{(m)}(y) + \sum_i 2\tau_i f_A^{(n)}(y_i)f_A^{(m)}(y_i) = Z_n\delta_{mn,}, \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$Z_n = kL + 2\sum_i \tau_i\left(f_A^{(n)}(y_i)\right)^2. \tag{2.17}$$

Using the above notation with $f_A^{(0)}(y) = 1/\sqrt{2}$, one obtains a canonically normalised kinetic term for the zero-mode vector:

$$S \supset -\frac{1}{4g_4^2}\int d^4x\,\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}F_{\rho\sigma}^{(0)}, \tag{2.18}$$

where the effective 4D coupling is

$$g_4^2 = \frac{g_5^2 k}{kL + \tau_{\rm UV} + \tau_{\rm IR}}. \tag{2.19}$$

This is the form of the coupling constant dictated by the AdS/CFT correspondence [46] (one can convert kL to a logarithm to show the running of the CFT-induced contribution). Note that positivity of the gauge-coupling squared (equivalently, avoiding a ghost-like vector) requires $kL + \sum_i \tau_i > 0$.

We wish to obtain the coupling of zero-mode vectors to KK gravitons. Labeling the SM vectors by the index $a = 1, 2, 3$ for the $U(1)$, $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ factors, respectively, and including the metric perturbation (2.3) in the bulk vector action, gives

$$S \supset - \sum_{\substack{a\in\{1,2,3\} \\ n\in\mathbb{N}}} \frac{c_{a,n}}{\Lambda} \int d^4x \, h^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} \, T^{\mu\nu}_{(0),a}, \tag{2.20}$$

where $\Lambda = \overline{M_p} e^{-kL}$ is the warped-down IR cutoff scale, and the effective field-dependent couplings $c_{a,n}$ (here a is the gauge index, and n denotes the coupling to the n-th KK graviton) are defined through:

$$\frac{c_{a,n}}{\Lambda} = \frac{M_*^{-3/2}}{(kL + \sum_i \tau_i^a)} \left(kL \int_0^L \frac{dy}{L} f_h^{(n)} + \sum_i \tau_i^a f_h^{(n)}(y_i) \right). \tag{2.21}$$

Note that the index $a = 1, 2, 3$ for the SM gauge factors appears because each gauge factor can have distinct UV and IR brane terms $\tau_{a,i}$. The zero-mode stress-energy tensor has the standard form:

$$T^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\alpha\beta} F^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} - F^{(0)}_{\alpha\nu} (F^{(0)})_\beta{}^\nu. \tag{2.22}$$

One notes immediately that in the limit $\tau_{a,i} \to 0$, the coupling of a given KK graviton to distinct vectors becomes universal, while for values of $\tau_{a,i} \neq 0$, the couplings are, in general, non-universal. This differs from other bulk SM fields, for which the (in general) distinct bulk profiles are sufficient to generate non-universal couplings with the KK gravitons, via wavefunction overlap integrals. For the bulk vectors (e.g. photon and gluon), the wavefunctions are identical when $\tau_i^a = 0$, so nonzero BLKTs are a necessary ingredient to generate non-universal couplings. Note that, in the above, the zero-mode vectors have been rescaled, such that the gauge coupling g_4 now appears in the covariant derivative.

A further point of importance for this model relates to the localisation of SM fermions. While the lighter fermions are readily localised near the UV brane, as required to satisfy e.g. dilepton constraints, the Higgs and top quark should preferably reside near the IR brane to address the hierarchy problem. However, this can be problematic from the perspective of a 750 GeV KK graviton, as the KK1 graviton may then decay preferentially to the top and Higgs. The presence of vector BLKTs can help here, as discussed in [28] for the case of an IR BLKT for hypercharge. The key point is that BLKTs can enhance the coupling of KK gravitons to vectors, thereby increasing the branching fraction to diphotons and making scenarios with IR localised top and Higgs consistent with the data. In our numerical

analysis below, we focus on the case with UV localised Higgs and top quark for simplicity. However, as we shall discuss, our results show that both the UV and IR BLKTs can be employed to enhance the coupling of KK gravitons to vectors and thus increase the branching fraction to diphotons. Thus, our results demonstrate that more-general BLKTs provide additional support for scenarios with IR localised top and Higgs, consistent with the demands of the hierarchy problem.

3 Couplings of 750 GeV KK Graviton and Vectors

We now turn our attention to a numerical analysis of the parameter space for the above model. We are interested in parameter space where one can reproduce the diphton signal with a 750 GeV KK graviton while retaining KK vectors with mass $\gtrsim$ TeV. In Fig. 1, we present the first two roots $x^G_{1,2}$ of KK gravitons and the cut-off scale Λ as functions of $\gamma_{\rm IR}$. To address the hierarchy problem, one typically requires values of $kr_c = \mathcal{O}(10)$. As mentioned previously, this gives $\alpha_n \sim 10^{-30}$, such that the low-lying KK graviton masses are largely insensitive to values of $\gamma_{\rm UV} \neq 0$ (equivalently, the roots x^G_n are essentially independent of $\gamma_{\rm UV}$). Thus, for simplicity, we assume $\gamma_{\rm UV} = 0$ in our study. In the left panel of Fig. 1, we see that x^G_2 is essentially constant away from the transition region near $\gamma_{\rm IR} = 0$. However, for $\gamma_{\rm IR} > 0$, x^G_1 decreases like $\sim 2/\sqrt{\gamma_{\rm IR}}$ for increasing values of $\gamma_{\rm IR}$. In the right panel of Fig. 1, we fix the value of the first KK graviton mass at $m_{G_1} = 750$ GeV and consider values of the curvature at $k = (10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1) \times \overline{M}_p$. With $m_{G_1} = x^G_1 k e^{-kr_c\pi}$, one can determine the radius of compactification r_c for each given k value. For a given fixed value of $\gamma_{\rm IR}$, smaller values of k require smaller values of r_c. The radius r_c affects the IR scale Λ via the warp factor $e^{-kr_c\pi}$. It can be seen that the IR scale Λ can be significantly lifted by lowering the value of k. When $\gamma_{\rm IR} > 0$, the value of Λ increases rapidly for increasing values of $\gamma_{\rm IR}$. To obtain $\Lambda \gtrsim 1$ TeV, the value of $\gamma_{\rm IR}$ needs to be larger than about 10. In the studies that follow, we fix $k = \overline{M}_p$ and $m_{G_1} = 750$ GeV.



Figure 1. The first two roots $x^G_{1,2}$ of KK gravitons and the IR cut-off scale Λ as functions of $\gamma_{\rm IR}$.

We emphasise that although one can obtain a 750 GeV KK graviton with a range of values for $\gamma_{\rm IR}$, one must also check that the warped down scale is not so low that the KK vector masses are sufficiently light to induce tension with precision constraints. However, the situation is not so simple as merely inspecting the KK graviton mass and the IR scale as a function of $\gamma_{\rm IR}$. The BLKTs for the vectors also modify the KK vector masses and one must check for viable parameter space accordingly. In Fig. 2, we present the mass spectrum of the first two KK gravitons and the first KK vector bosons by including the BLKT terms $\tau_{\rm UV}$ and $\tau_{\rm IR}$. Here we assume $\tau_{\rm UV} = -30$ and vary $\tau_{\rm IR}$ in the range $[-5, 50]$ to examine the effect of the IR BLKT on the KK vector masses. The lower limit of $\tau_{\rm IR}$ results from the requirement that $\tau_{\rm UV} + \tau_{\rm IR} + k\pi r_c > 0$ in order to avoid ghost-like vectors. With $m_{G_1} = 750$ GeV and $k = \overline{M}_p$, the second KK graviton mass m_{G_2} is determined by $\gamma_{\rm IR}$ via the ratio of the first two roots x_2^G/x_1^G. This ratio is nearly constant in the negative $\gamma_{\rm IR}$ region and grows substantially large in the positive $\gamma_{\rm IR}$ region. Thus, m_{G_2} is predicted to be around 1.3 TeV for $\gamma_\pi < 0$ but goes up to about 7 TeV for $\gamma_{\rm IR} = 10$. On the other hand, the KK vector masses are determined by both $\gamma_{\rm IR}$ (through the corresponding changes in r_c) and the BLKTs $\tau_{\rm UV,IR}$. For $\gamma_{\rm IR} \lesssim 10$, as a result of the low IR scale, the KK vector masses are basically less than m_{G_1}, which is disfavored by the eletroweak precision measurements. However, we can see that a negative $\tau_{\rm IR}$ can significantly lift the KK vector boson masses, while the positive $\tau_{\rm IR}$ can reduce them. For example, when $\gamma_{\rm IR} = 10$, the KK vector masses can reach about 6(0.9) TeV for $\tau^a_{\rm IR} = -5(50)$.



Figure 2. Masses of the first two KK gravitons $G_{1,2}$ and the first KK vector bosons A_1^a for $\tau^a_{\rm UV} = -30$ with $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$ varying in the range $[-5, 50]$, where $a = 1, 2, 3$.

To reproduce the diphoton signal one must also consider the couplings $c_{a,n}$ between the zero-mode vector of type a and the n-th KK graviton. In Fig. 3, we plot the coupling $c_{a,1}$



Figure 3. The gauge coupling $c_{a,1}$ of KK graviton G_1 as a function of $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$ for $\tau^a_{\rm UV} = -50, -40, -30, 0, 50$, where the gauge index $a = 1, 2, 3$. The dashed and solid lines correspond to the gauge couplings $c_{a,1}$ and KK vector boson mass m_{A_1}, respectively. The condition $\tau_{\rm UV}+\tau_{\rm IR}+k\pi r_c > 0$ is imposed to avoid ghost-like vectors.

of the KK graviton G_1 as a function of $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$ for $\tau^a_{\rm UV} = -50, -40, -30, 0, 50$, where the gauge index $a = 1, 2, 3$. The condition $\tau_{\rm UV} + \tau_{\rm IR} + k\pi r_c > 0$ is imposed to avoid ghost-like vectors. We can see that when $\tau^a_{\rm IR} > 0$, the coupling $c_{a,1}$ can be enhanced by increasing $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$, however, the corresponding KK vector mass $m_{A,1}$ will be reduced significantly for $\tau^a_{\rm UV} = -30, 0, 50$. This may cause tension between the diphoton enhancement and the electroweak precision observables. But for $\tau^a_{\rm UV} = -40, -50$, the coupling $c_{a,1}$ decreases with increasing $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$ and the corresponding KK vector mass $m_{A,1}$ will be around 4 TeV and keep almost constant. On the other hand, when $\tau^a_{\rm IR} < 0$, the sign of coupling $c_{a,1}$ will be changed to negative and decreases if $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$ becomes smaller.

To fit the production rate of the observed 750 GeV diphoton resonance, we assume $m_{G_1} = 750$ GeV. The partial decay widths of the gravitons G_1 are given by:

$$\Gamma(G_1 \to gg) = \frac{c^2_{gg} m^3_{G_n}}{10\pi\Lambda^2} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\Gamma(G_1 \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{c^2_{\gamma\gamma} m^3_{G_n}}{80\pi\Lambda^2} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Gamma(G_1 \to Z\gamma) = \frac{c^2_{Z\gamma} m^3_{G_n}}{160\pi\Lambda^2} \left(1 - r_Z^2\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_Z^2 + 1\frac{1}{6} r_Z^2\right) \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Gamma(G_1 \to ZZ) = \frac{c^2_{ZZ} m^3_{G_n}}{80\pi\Lambda^2} \left(1 - 4r_Z^2\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - 3r_Z^2 + 6r_Z^2\right) \tag{3.4}$$

$$\Gamma(G_1 \to WW) = \frac{c^2_{WW} m^3_{G_n}}{160\pi\Lambda^2} \left(1 - 4r_W^2\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - 3r_W^2 + 6r_W^2\right) \tag{3.5}$$

where $r_i = (m_i/m_{G_1})^2$, $c_{gg} = c_{3,1}$, $c_{\gamma\gamma} = c_{1,1}\cos^2\theta_W + c_{2,1}\sin^2\theta_W$, $c_{Z\gamma} = (c_{2,1} - c_{1,1})\sin 2\theta_W$, $c_{ZZ} = c_{1,1}\sin^2\theta_W + c_{2,1}\cos^2\theta_W$, $c_{WW} = 2c_{2,1}$. By using MadGraph5_aMC@NLO

[47], we calculate the cross section $\sigma(gg \to G_1)$ at 8 and 13 TeV LHC. We use NN23LO1 [48] as the PDF set and the dynamic factorisation and renormalisation scales. Since no significant excesses were observed in these channels, in Tab. 1, we list the 95% C.L. exclusion limits on $\sigma(gg \to R) \times Br(R \to X)$ for various decay channels in the resonance searches at LHC Run 1 .



Figure 4. Same as Fig. 3, but the samples satisfying the 750 GeV diphoton excess in Eq. (1.1) are projected. Here we require $m_{A_1} > 3$ TeV. The numbers on the dashed lines indicate the corresponding values of $\tau^a_{\rm UV}$.

We take $\tau^a_{\rm UV,IR} \in [-50, 50]$, simultaneously requiring $\tau_{\rm UV} + \tau_{\rm IR} + k\pi r_c > 0$. To be consistent with the electroweak precision observables, we also require $m_{A_1} > 3$ TeV. In Fig. 4, we project the samples that satisfy the 750 GeV diphoton excess in Eq. (1.1) on the plane of $|c_{a,1}|$ versus $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$. It can be seen that when $\tau^a_{\rm IR} > 0$, the couplings $|c_{a,1}|$ have to be larger than about 0.2(0.4) for $a = 1, 2$ ($a = 3$), in order to avoid the constraints in Tab. 1. On the other hand, when $\tau^a_{\rm IR} < 0$, the couplings $|c_{a,1}|$ for $n = 1, 2$ are allowed to vary in a larger parameter space because the mass constraint $m_{A_1} > 3$ TeV can be easily

satisfied for $\tau^a_{\rm IR} < 0$, but again, the coupling $|c_{3,1}|$ is bounded between about 0.003 and 0.7. So given Fig. 3, we can generally conclude that a small $\tau^a_{\rm IR}$ with a small $\tau^a_{\rm UV}$ of opposite sign is favoured to explain the recent 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC.

Finally, we mention that our analysis corresponds to the case where all other SM fields are localised towards the UV brane. However, while the light fermions can, in general, be localised towards the UV brane, ideally one would like the Higgs and top quark to be localised near the IR brane, to address the hierarchy problem. This issue was discussed in Ref. [28], which noted that decays of the KK1 graviton to the IR-localised Higgs and top quark will typically dominate, making the diphoton signal incompatible with other data. However, by using an IR BLKT for the bulk hypercharge field [28] was able to sufficiently increase the coupling $c_{1,1}$ to the KK1 graviton, increasing the diphoton branching fraction and rendering the model consistent with an IR localised Higgs and top quark. In the limit with only $\tau^1_{\rm IR} \neq 0$, the same argument applies to our analysis, and one can take the Higgs and top to be IR localised. As seen from the plot for $c_{1,1}$ in Fig. 4, the parameter space with $\tau^1_{\rm UV} \neq 0$ contains regions in which the coupling $|c_{1,1}|$ is larger than many of the viable parameter points with $\tau^1_{\rm UV} = 0$. Thus, in addition to the viable parameter space discussed in Ref. [28], our results show that one can obtain additional regions of parameter space consistent with an IR localised Higgs and top quark by taking $\tau^1_{\rm UV} \neq 0$. Fig. 4 further shows that taking nonzero BLKTs $\tau^1_{\rm IR,UV}$ and $\tau^3_{\rm IR,UV}$ also allow one to increase $c_{1,1}$ and $c_{3,1}$ relative to the case with $\tau^1_{\rm IR,UV} = 0$ and $\tau^3_{\rm IR,UV} = 0$ (considered in Ref. [28]). The increased values of $c_{1,1}$, in particular, allow one to further enhance the diphoton branching fraction relative to the Higgs and top quark, adding further support to the viability of the scenario with IR localised Higgs and top quark.

4 Conclusions

In this work we studied the RS model with brane-curvature and the most-general set of BLKTs for bulk vectors. Unlike bulk fermions and scalars, for which different bulk wavefunctions are sufficient to generate non-universal couplings to KK gravitons, even in the absence of brane-localised terms, massless bulk vectors typically have (identical) flat profiles and thus couple universally to KK gravitons. However, the use of BLKTs modifies this expectation and allows non-universal couplings between bulk vectors and KK gravitons. We studied such non-universal couplings within the context of the 750 GeV diphoton excess reported at the LHC. By identifying the lightest KK graviton as the 750 GeV state, we showed that the most-general set of vector BLKTs allows one to enhance the coupling between the KK graviton and SM vectors, thereby enhancing the branching fraction to diphotons. In particular, we showed that UV BLKTs allow regions with larger couplings, while permitting KK vector masses of $m_{A_1} \gtrsim 2$ TeV, so that both IR and UV BLKTs can play an important role in such models, supporting the scenarios with IR localised Higgs and top quark.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the Australian Research Council. AK was also supported in part by the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (grant DI/12/6- 200/13). LW was also supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) under grants Nos. 11275057, 11305049, by Specialised Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education under Grant No. 20134104120002.

References

[1] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for resonances decaying to photon pairs in 3.2 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector*, Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2015-081, CERN, Geneva, Dec, 2015.

[2] CMS collaboration, *Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004, CERN, Geneva, 2015.

[3] R. Franceschini, G. F. Giudice, J. F. Kamenik, M. McCullough, A. Pomarol, R. Rattazzi et al., *What is the $\gamma\gamma$ resonance at 750 GeV?*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 144, [1512.04933].

[4] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo and D. Marzocca, *Knocking on new physics?door with a scalar resonance*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** (2016) 116, [1512.04929].

[5] CMS collaboration, *Search for Resonances Decaying to Dijet Final States at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with Scouting Data*, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-EXO-14-005, CERN, Geneva, 2015.

[6] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for an additional, heavy Higgs boson in the $H \to ZZ$ decay channel at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in pp collision data with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** (2016) 45, [1507.05930].

[7] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for a high-mass Higgs boson decaying to a W boson pair in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 032, [1509.00389].

[8] CMS collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Search for a Higgs Boson in the Mass Range from 145 to 1000 GeV Decaying to a Pair of W or Z Bosons*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 144, [1504.00936].

[9] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for new resonances in $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett.* **B738** (2014) 428–447, [1407.8150].

[10] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for high-mass diphoton resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 032004, [1504.05511].

[11] CMS collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Search for resonant pair production of Higgs bosons decaying to two bottom quarkantiquark pairs in protonproton collisions at 8 TeV*, *Phys. Lett.* **B749** (2015) 560–582, [1503.04114].

[12] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *A search for $t\bar{t}$ resonances using lepton-plus-jets events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 148, [1505.07018].

[13] CMS collaboration, *Search for ttbar resonances in semileptonic final state*, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-B2G-12-006, CERN, Geneva, 2013.

[14] S. Abel and V. V. Khoze, *Photo-production of a 750 GeV di-photon resonance mediated by Kaluza-Klein leptons in the loop*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 063, [1601.07167].

[15] M. Gogberashvili, *Hierarchy problem in the shell universe model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D11** (2002) 1635–1638, [hep-ph/9812296].

[16] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *An Alternative to compactification*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690–4693, [hep-th/9906064].

[17] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370–3373, [hep-ph/9905221].

[18] C. Cai, Z.-H. Yu and H.-H. Zhang, *750 GeV diphoton resonance as a singlet scalar in an extra dimensional model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 075033, [1512.08440].

[19] M. T. Arun and P. Saha, *Gravitons in multiply warped scenarios - at 750 GeV and beyond*, 1512.06335.

[20] P. Cox, A. D. Medina, T. S. Ray and A. Spray, *Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV from a Radion in the Bulk-Higgs Scenario*, 1512.05618.

[21] D. Bardhan, D. Bhatia, A. Chakraborty, U. Maitra, S. Raychaudhuri and T. Samui, *Radion Candidate for the LHC Diphoton Resonance*, 1512.06674.

[22] A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, *Higgs-radion interpretation of 750 GeV di-photon excess at the LHC*, 1512.05771.

[23] C. Han, H. M. Lee, M. Park and V. Sanz, *The diphoton resonance as a gravity mediator of dark matter*, *Phys. Lett.* **B755** (2016) 371–379, [1512.06376].

[24] C.-Q. Geng and D. Huang, *Note on Spin-2 Particle Interpretation of the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, 1601.07385.

[25] S. B. Giddings and H. Zhang, *Kaluza-Klein graviton phenomenology for warped compactifications, and the 750 GeV diphoton excess*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 115002, [1602.02793].

[26] A. Carmona, *A 750 GeV graviton from holographic composite dark sectors*, 1603.08913.

[27] M. Bauer, C. Hoerner and M. Neubert, *Diphoton Resonance from a Warped Extra Dimension*, 1603.05978.

[28] A. Falkowski and J. F. Kamenik, *Di-photon portal to warped gravity*, 1603.06980.

[29] C. Csaki and L. Randall, *A Diphoton Resonance from Bulk RS*, 1603.07303.

[30] J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, *750 GeV Diphoton Resonance in Warped Geometries*, 1603.08250.

[31] C. Zhang, M.-Y. Cui, L. Feng, Y.-Z. Fan and Z.-Z. Ren, *$\gamma-$ray line signal in the 750 GeV-diphoton-excess-motivated gravity mediator dark matter model*, 1606.00557.

[32] B. M. Dillon and V. Sanz, *A Little KK Graviton at 750 GeV*, 1603.09550.

[33] N. Chakrabarty, B. Mukhopadhyaya and S. SenGupta, *Diphoton excess via Chern-Simons interaction in a warped geometry scenario*, 1604.00885.

[34] B. M. Dillon, C. Han, H. M. Lee and M. Park, *Confronting diphoton resonance with cascade decays in warped gravity*, 1606.07171.

[35] CMS collaboration, C. Collaboration, *Search for an Higgs Like resonance in the diphoton mass spectra above 150 GeV with 8 TeV data*, .

[36] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, *Bulk gauge fields in the Randall-Sundrum model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B473** (2000) 43–49, [hep-ph/9911262].

[37] H. Davoudiasl, G. Perez and A. Soni, *The Little Randall-Sundrum Model at the Large Hadron Collider*, *Phys. Lett.* **B665** (2008) 67–71, [0802.0203].

[38] K. Agashe, A. Delgado, M. J. May and R. Sundrum, *RS1, custodial isospin and precision tests*, *JHEP* **08** (2003) 050, [hep-ph/0308036].

[39] M. Carena, E. Ponton, T. M. P. Tait and C. E. M. Wagner, *Opaque branes in warped backgrounds*, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 096006, [hep-ph/0212307].

[40] S. Fichet and G. von Gersdorff, *Anomalous gauge couplings from composite Higgs and warped extra dimensions*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 102, [1311.6815].

[41] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, *Brane localized curvature for warped gravitons*, *JHEP* **08** (2003) 034, [hep-ph/0305086].

[42] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, *Brane localized kinetic terms in the Randall-Sundrum model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 045002, [hep-ph/0212279].

[43] D. P. George and K. L. McDonald, *Gravity on a Little Warped Space*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 064007, [1107.0755].

[44] B. M. Dillon, D. P. George and K. L. McDonald, *Regarding the Radion in Randall-Sundrum Models with Brane Curvature*, 1605.03087.

[45] P. Cox, A. D. Medina, T. S. Ray and A. Spray, *Radion/Dilaton-Higgs Mixing Phenomenology in Light of the LHC*, *JHEP* **02** (2014) 032, [1311.3663].

[46] K. Agashe and A. Delgado, *A Note on CFT dual of RS model with gauge fields in bulk*, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 046003, [hep-th/0209212].

[47] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer et al., *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, [1405.0301].

[48] NNPDF collaboration, R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti et al., *Parton distributions with QED corrections*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B877** (2013) 290–320, [1308.0598].